

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Boc 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 twww.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. 2003DE404 9117 10/769,963 02/02/2004 Gerd Reinhardt EXAMINER 10/01/2004 25255 7590 **CLARIANT CORPORATION** DELCOTTO, GREGORY R INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 4000 MONROE ROAD CHARLOTTE, NC 28205 1751

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/769,963	REINHARDT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gregory R. Del Cotto	1751
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
,	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1 and 3-10 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>		
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>		
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8-04</u>.</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:	r (PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/769,963

Art Unit: 1751

5

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-10 are pending. The preliminary amendment filed 2/2/04 ha been entered.

## **Priority**

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Germany on 2/3/03. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the 10304131.1 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

#### Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:

The specification provides no antecedent basis for "mixing a compound which eliminates peroxocarboxylic acid...." as recited by instant claims 5, 8, and 10.

Clarification is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5, 8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to instant claims 5, 8, and 10, these claims are vague and indefinite in that it is not clear what types of compounds would fall within the scope of "a

Application/Control Number: 10/769,963 Page 3

Art Unit: 1751

compound which <u>eliminates</u> peroxyocarboxylic acid". For purposes of examination, the Examiner has interpreted this limitation to include a wide range of compounds such as such as alkaline components including sodium carbonate. Clarification is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

D

Application/Control Number: 10/769,963

Art Unit: 1751

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1 and 3-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Van Kralingen et al (US 5,114,611).

Van Kralingen et al teach a activation of peroxy compound bleaching by a catalytic amount of a transition metal complex of a transitin metal with a non-macro

Application/Control Number: 10/769,963

Art Unit: 1751

cyclic ligand. The transition metal complex is an effective catalyst for activating hydrogen peroxide compounds, peroxyacids, and peroxyacid bleach precursors on removing a wide class of stains from substrates, especially textiles and fabrics. See Abstract. Note that, the Examiner asserts that this is the same transition metal complex as recited by the instant claims. See column 3, line 5 to column 4, line 65. The amount of catalytic component is normally in the range from 0.01 ppm to 100 ppm. See column 5, lines 1-40. Hydrogen peroxide sources are well known in the art and include the alkali metal peroxides, organic peroxide bleaching agents, perborate, etc. See column 5, lines 1-60. Note that, Van Kralingen et al teaches numerous examples using a catalyst complex as recited by the instant claims in combination with a bleach and other components. See column 12, line 25 to column 13, line 45.

Accordingly, the broad teaching of Van Kralingen et al anticipate the material limitations of the instant claims.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Whitney et al ("A Study of the Electronic and Sturctural Properties of Bis(pyridine)dichloroiron(II)), Witteveen et al ("Antiferromagnetic interaction in dichlorobis(pyrazole)manganese(II); Three Independent Determinations), or H.T. Witteveen (Linear-Chain Antiferromagnetism in compound  $MnX_2L_2$ , with X=CI, Br and L = Pyrazole, Pyridine).

Whitney et al teach the preparation of bis(pyridine)dichloroiron(II).

Accordingly, the teachings of Whitney et al anticipate the material limitations of the instant claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/769,963

Art Unit: 1751

Witteveen et al ("A Study of the Electronic and Sturctural Properties of Bis(pyridine)dichloroiron(II)) teach the preparation of Mn(pyrazole)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>.

Accordingly, the teachings of Witteveen et al anticipate the material limitations of the instant claims.

Witteveen et al (Linear-Chain Antiferromagnetism in compound MnX<sub>2</sub>L<sub>2</sub>, with X=CI, Br and L = Pyrazole, Pyridine) teach the compounds MnX<sub>2</sub>L<sub>2</sub>, with X=CI, Br and L = Pyrazole, Pyridine.

Accordingly, the teachings of Witteveen et al anticipate the material limitations of the instant claims.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

None of the references of record, alone or in combination, teach or suggest a method for increasing the oxidation and bleaching action of a peroxygen compound using the specific transition metal complex as recited by the instant claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 2. applicant's disclosure. Remaining references cited but not relied upon are considered to be cumulative to or less pertinent than those relied upon or discussed above.

7 Applications Control Hambe

Art Unit: 1751

Applicant is reminded that any evidence to be presented in accordance with 37 CFR 1.131 or 1.132 should be submitted before final rejection in order to be considered timely.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory R. Del Cotto whose telephone number is (571) 272-1312. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory R. Del Cott Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751

GRD September 28, 2004